

Third Party Observations, Oppositions & Invalidation Trials of Patents in Japan



Aki Ryuka

Japanese Patent Attorney
Attorney at Law, California, U.S.A.

July 20, 2023

This information is provided for general informational purposes only, and is not intended as legal advice. Because every case is unique, readers should not take any action, or refrain from acting based on this information without first consulting their own attorneys. The law is constantly developing, and this information may not be updated with each and every development. The mere presentation of this information does not create an attorney-client relationship with RYUKA IP Law Firm. RYUKA IP Law Firm specifically and wholly disclaims liability for this information.

	Third Party Observations	Oppositions	Invalidation Trials
Fees (JPY)	Inexpensive		
Attorney Office	40,000~	400,000~	1,000,000~
	0	16,500+2,400/ challenged claim	49,500+5,500/ challenged claim
Oral hearing	No		Yes
Standard	Reasonable doubt of patentability		
Claims	can be amended		
Decisions	- -	~1 year from grant	~9 months from request
Res Judicata	No		Yes


* As of February 19, 2021

	Third Party Observations	Oppositions	Invalidation Trials
Who can file?	Anyone		Party in interest
Anonymous	OK	No	
When	Anytime, even after Grant	Within 6 months from patent publication	When patent is enforceable
Grounds Unity of Invention cannot be challenged	Novelty Inventive Step Double Patent Non-Statutory Subject Matter New Matter Claim Clarity Enablement	Same grounds as third party observations + ▪ Personal Ineligibility ▪ Against Public Order	Same grounds as oppositions + ▪ Inventorship ▪ Invalid correction

Recently, patents are rarely invalidated

Invalidation Rate (%): ↓ 50 -> 20

Cf. Grant Rate (%): 70's



Disadvantages (Cons)

Cons: Challenges to a patent may reinforce it

- Surviving a challenge serves to prove the validity of the patent over the submitted references
- Thus, the challenge **strengthens** the position of the patentee

Cons: Patent owner having a pending application zealously prosecutes it

- Challenge indicates patent's importance
- A patentee having a **pending** application **in Japan** or a **foreign country** may:
 - **investigate** competitors' products,
 - **amend** the claims to cover the products,
 - **accelerate** the examination,
 - keep **divisional** applications pending, and
 - obtain **more patents**.

Cons: Un-submitted prior art references can be a negotiation tool for obtaining a more favorable license

- If a prior art reference invalidates a patent, then the patentee cannot exclude anyone or obtain any license fees.
- By submitting the prior art references, **this bargaining chip is lost.**
- The effect is larger, if there are many competitors or other competitors are larger.


Suggestions to challengers

Cons: It may be preferable to allow a competitor's patent to exist

- The presence of a competitor's patent excludes other competitors
- If there are other competitors, and a license can be obtained, then the **patent benefits** you.

Suggestions: Filing an opposition to carve out your products

- Carving out your products from the patent, while trying to **maintain** the patent for the purpose of excluding others.



Do not submit Prior Art 2

Suggestions: Not challenging the patent if many or larger competitors use the invention in the **same manner as you do**

Exceptional Situations (ex.):

- You are likely the target of the patent, and no application is pending in countries of your business
- You can use the invention **differently** from others.

Our suggestions depend on the specific business circumstances of each client.

Suggestions: Filing third party observation or opposition under a third party name

- Challenging party reads claims **broadly** in invalidation,
- But tries to read claims **narrowly** to avoid infringement.
- Inconsistent arguments affect the party's credibility.

⇒ Filing third party observation or opposition under a third-party name, e.g. JP attorney.

Inconsistent argument can be filed in the future.

No opportunity for appeal, anyway.

Suggestions: Filing third party observation and opposition to create file wrapper estoppel

- By using a third party, claims can be read broadly.
- Patent owner's reply creates file wrapper estoppel.
- Helpful for securing non-infringement.

Suggestions: Requesting your Japanese firms to provide “watch” services for potential oppositions

Japanese firms can routinely search for new patents of specific designated parties

Request Japanese firms to report:

1. Specification of corresponding English application
2. Machine translation of claims, if different from 1.
3. Information about any **divisional** application
4. Information about **foreign pending** applications

Advice to the applicants and patentees

Advice to the applicants:

Challenge indicates patent's importance

- **In Japan** and **foreign countries**:
 - **investigate** competitors' products,
 - **amend** the claims to cover the products,
 - **accelerate** the examination, as necessary,
 - keep **divisional** applications pending, and
 - obtain **more patents** for possible variations.

Advice to the applicants:

Later examined patents tend to be valid more (ex. JP v. US)

Examined in Japan (JP) first:

- Japanese language references are discovered
- US claims are amended, considering references in JP
 - **Valid US patent**
- US English references were not considered in JP
 - **Invalid JP patent**

Same in vice versa, if examined in the US first.

Order of the Examination is Important

To obtain a valid patent in the US:

Leave a continuation application until foreign counterpart applications are examined.

-- or ---

Other countries Accelerate the exams.



US (continuation) Respond to the OA considering the references cited in other countries

Advice to Patentees:

Wait until after the opposition period passes before sending warning letters

- Remaining measure is invalidation trial, which cannot be filed by a third party with no interest.
- The true party tries to avoid inconsistent arguments before the JPO and the court.
 - ⇒ Harder to read claims broadly
 - ⇒ More difficult to invalidate the patent.

Patent Invalidation

